



[illegible]

THE NEW DE

How It Was General
garded by the Occup
of the City-Hall
Yesterday.

Ex-Comptroller Hayes Tak
with Mayor Hoyne, a
Appeals to the
Public.

He Requests a Thorough E
tion of His Books Before
tiring from the Care
of Office.

**Mike Bailey Reluctant to Step
Out of His Sinecure—The H
Board Funeral.**

**Five Hundred and For
licensed Saloons Ow
City About \$60.
000.**

**Mr. Colvin Still Clings to
and Believes Himse
Mayor.**

**A Melange of Interesting
pal Items.**

THE COMPTROLLER
MR. HAYES' CARD TO THE P
The great excitement yesterday
old rookery was in regard to
Hayes' removal, which had erid
everybody by surprise. Mr. Hayes
with his lawyers the greater portio
but it was early given out that he w
in an official capacity. He was in
interviewers, but about 6 o'clock
he sat down in his office and penne
card to the public, which fully
views on the whole subject:

"GENTLEMEN: I am of the opinion that the interests of the city require that Mr. Hayes, and I have so removed him from office as it would become necessary that I should because Mr. Hayes, over his own signature, in a morning paper, deliberately defamed me in the public press, and misled and jeopardized the credit of the city by insisting, as the financial officer of the city, that the issue of bonds for anything like the year 1876, not to say 1877 or 1878, was made, and that the city would have to pay the certificates and now—only issued last week—of \$100,000. I am satisfied that for this purpose no money was drawn against the city treasury contemplated, of 75 per cent, to pay certificates, the present Council will have to find funds to pay them; the policemen, firemen, laborers, etc.,

The statement that in my letter of November 1, I insisted upon the issuance of certificates, drawn against the taxes levied in 1970, not to pay the sums appropriate to the expenses of the Government, was made, but to pay and take up certain fully issued in former years and now entirely unaccounted for.

I quote all of the letter referred to as the subject:

"You say: We know that the Comptroller has his opinion on the wisdom of issuing paper with the word 'Treasury' to issue, but that system is none the better the Comptroller insists on as I answer, the Comptroller insists and insists on nothing of the kind."

I differ with **THE TRINITY** on two points when the city hires curators, policemen, school-teachers and others, who should be exercising its acknowledged power, money, and not compel several thousands of people to wait two or three years for buy their daily bread.

"The other point is the meeting of Nations hereafter."

"The \$2, 800, 000 of our temporary debt that remains unpaid, was incurred to pay the interest on our foreign loans for legitimate purposes, all in anticipation within the appropriations."

"I am for paying this debt by installments. **THE TRINITY** is not, by its policy, to take the position of repudiating the false assumption that the questionable."

"This is nothing but repudiation is but thinly disguised at that."

"It would ruin the credit and cripple all time coming."
"I assert that a city with a first \$1,000,000 worth of property, with a practicality of less than 10 per cent income of \$4,000,000 or \$5,000,000, \$2,800,000 of borrowed money, and a budget of equal value, would be unable to pay day by day, if the effect is, no matter who opposes, it."
"I have never contemplated drawing for 1879, except for the appropriations and levy of 1878, and for specified in each appropriation—but pending certificates for the next years in general, the uncollected taxes, years are amply sufficient, at least six millions of dollars, including nearly four millions of taxes being collected, against less than the certificate, and less than the taxes of 1878."
"I do not come upon the necessities of this removal. I will only

Hoynes had not acted quite fairly in the matter, but he had no right to the following letter:

Chicago, May 22, 1876.—*The Age*: Dear Sir: I thank you for the relations that I should advise you, duty, that I shall ask the Commission to be made, and I shall be glad that you are opposed to what I consider should be, as respects the policy, on which you have decided. I certainly believe it would ruin my administration, and I am also aware of public opinion and the best judgments of business men. Yours, very truly, J. A. Hoynes.

At the same time, or before the latter, he was preparing another small stating my opinion, which was not notified me, and presenting a piece of the true one given in his letter, but he pretended to be disappointed of my successor. To the same, he stated in his letter, that he had no objection, as I felt that the

our views was so great that we could not have been in better agreement in accordance with the law and the constitution, by borrowing money for that purpose in order to borrow money from the employees of the city and the expenditure for the time which would be the collection of taxes. I am not in favor of these propositions, which I call pretexts of our present debts and difficulties of the city for the future.

The simple issue before Mr. Hoy is whether we shall stand in the law in favor of going to protest, stop and ceasing to borrow money. I have been from his side, and I am a part of his communication to the right, in which he says: "As I suggest an address to the legislature, I need only add that I regret whenever for repeating an unlawful continuing a radical ruling, and I state now that the ordinance in question should be repealed, and then discontinued."

In his address he said: "I think most of a disincorporation and the utility of the whole certificate system as well as the anticipation of revenue in collection for any purpose. I would, of course, retrench expenditures, but I have obligations as far as the tax is concerned, and I am not sure that the best means of restoring your credit is to allow, and make good, the large paid."

The city is neither insolvent nor in a bad condition. She is rather in the first-class business house, whose owners are not the kind of men who have taken the city into the hands against which has made no protest. The issue itself was unauthorized, according to the law, and was not more than the law of the case, they say. In fact, it would be unreasonable to require a substitution of the

